

The original research was
conducted by

Reporting Year 2018

Rui Yao¹

Ph.D., Professor
Director of Graduate Studies -
Personal Financial Planning
Division of Applied Social
Sciences
yaor@missouri.edu

Retirement Plan Performance Measures

The data consisted of four categories of retirement plans of various sizes based on their market values, \$1 million to \$10 million, \$10-100 million, \$100-500 million, and over \$500 million. Of the 6,536 plans included in the original data sample, 40 plans were excluded from the analysis due to missing values in returns of the funds and/or their associated benchmarks. The final sample size for the analysis was 6,496.

- Table 1 reports the number of the total population and the sample of each fund size category in 2018.
- Table 2 reports the mean ratio of funds in plans that outperformed their benchmark.
- Table 3 reports the percentage of plans in each size category that outperformed their benchmark.

This report employs a fund-level approach and a plan-level approach to evaluate the plan's performance. The performance measures used in the analysis at both the fund level and the plan level included expected return, standard deviation, Sharpe ratio, downside risk, and Sortino ratio.

Summary of Results

Plan Performance at the Fund Level

Table 2 reports the mean (average) ratio of funds in plans that outperformed their benchmark.

The average of funds in plans that outperformed their benchmark was calculated by dividing the number of outperforming funds by the total number of funds in the lineup for each plan and taking an average of these ratios for all plans and for plans in each size category.

Evaluated by the expected return, the overall average percent of funds in a plan that outperformed its benchmark was 41.87%. In general, the average of plans with outperforming funds in a plan was higher in larger market value plans. The only exception was plans with market value of \$500 million or more, where only 36.83% of the funds in a plan outperformed their corresponding benchmark, the lowest of all four size categories. Meanwhile, 43.50% of funds in the \$100-500 million category outperformed their benchmark, the highest among all four categories.

¹ Rui Yao, PhD, CFP®, Professor, Applied Social Sciences, University of Missouri, yaor@missouri.edu.
Disclaimer: This report is not affiliated with the University of Missouri (MU) or Dr. Rui Yao's role at MU.

Reporting Year 2018
Plan Performance at the Plan Level

Table 3 reports the percentage of plans that outperformed their corresponding benchmark plan in 2018 at the plan level.

To calculate at the plan level, an equal weight is assigned to each fund offered in its retirement plan. The benchmark for each retirement plan consists of the benchmark of each fund in that plan. Funds in each benchmark plan received their weight in the same manner. Performance measures are then calculated for both the retirement plan portfolio and its benchmark plan portfolio.

On average, the percentage of plans that achieved a higher expected return than their benchmark was 27.86%. Generally, the percentage of plans that outperformed their benchmark plan as measured by expected return was higher for plans with a larger market value. The only exception was the largest plans with a market value of \$500 million or more. An average of 32.22% of these plans outperformed their benchmark, slightly lower than the 33.30% of the \$100-500 million size category.

Table 1

Number of Defined Contribution Plans and Sample Weights in 2018

Plan Size	2018
\$1m-\$10m	
Population	36,945
Sample weights	1,393 0.479711
\$10m-\$100m	
Population	36,100
Sample weights	1,768 0.369420
\$100m-\$500m	
Population	9,043
Sample weights	1,942 0.084225
\$500m+	
Population	5,280
Sample weights	1,433 0.066644
Total	
Population	87,378
Sample	6,536

Table 2

Mean Ratio of Funds in Plans that Outperformed Their Benchmark

Plan Size	2018
	Panel A: Higher Expected Returns
Overall	41.87
\$1-10m	41.49
\$10-100m	42.58
\$100-500m	43.50
\$500m+	36.83
	Panel B: Lower Risk (Standard Deviation)
Overall	57.02
\$1-10m	58.17
\$10-100m	57.62
\$100-500m	55.39
\$500m+	47.50
	Panel C: Higher Sharpe Ratio
Overall	39.01
\$1-10m	38.50
\$10-100m	38.75
\$100-500m	39.01
\$500m+	44.54
	Panel D: Lower Downside Risk
Overall	53.18
\$1-10m	53.41
\$10-100m	54.24
\$100-500m	52.97
\$500m+	44.56
	Panel E: Higher Sortino Ratio
Overall	35.78
\$1-10m	35.73
\$10-100m	35.28
\$100-500m	35.10
\$500m+	40.78

Note. n=6,496, numbers in percentages.

Table 3

Percentages of Plans that Outperformed Their Benchmark

Plan Size	2018
	Panel A: Higher Expected Returns
Overall	27.86
\$1-10m	26.19
\$10-100m	27.58
\$100-500m	33.30
\$500m+	32.22
	Panel B: Lower Risk (Standard Deviation)
Overall	57.66
\$1-10m	56.83
\$10-100m	60.42
\$100-500m	57.92
\$500m+	43.91
	Panel C: Higher Sharpe Ratio
Overall	24.08
\$1-10m	22.16
\$10-100m	21.97
\$100-500m	30.20
\$500m+	41.77
	Panel D: Lower Downside Risk
Overall	75.30
\$1-10m	72.66
\$10-100m	79.22
\$100-500m	78.47
\$500m+	61.30
	Panel E: Higher Sortino Ratio
Overall	12.12
\$1-10m	11.65
\$10-100m	9.97
\$100-500m	14.15
\$500m+	26.94

Note. n=6,496, numbers in percentages.